

## **Intercollegiate Athletics Committee Minutes of the April 19, 2012 Meeting**

*The meeting was called to order by the Chair at 9 a.m. In attendance were:*

*Andy McCollough, Chair*

*Albert Matheny*

*Mike Katovich*

*Keith Carodine*

*Joe Delfino*

*Jeremy Foley*

*Brian Howell*

*Jamie McCloskey*

*Jessica Harland-Jacobs*

*Craig Thompson*

*Mike Sagas - FAR*

*Dave Bloomquist, Secretary*

**Guests:** Kim Pace, Assistant Provost  
Scott Nygren, Faculty Senate Chair

### **Agenda**

1. Review and approval of the minutes of the March 15, 2012 meeting.
2. IAC website – progress report – Kim Pace
3. Graduation success rate – Dave Bloomquist
4. Report on “clustering” of SA major’s selection. –Joe Delfino
5. Preparatory classes – Keith Carodine
6. Data compilation – Andy McCollough
7. FAR Report – Mike Sagas
8. Liaison Updates
9. Student and Student Athlete Reports
10. Other Business

### **ITEM 1. Approval of Minutes**

*A motion was made and seconded to approve the March 15, 2012 minutes as distributed. One minor change was requested and the Secretary agreed to correct it and resubmit them for approval. Hearing no other comments, they were unanimously approve..*

*Prior to the next Item, the Chair introduced the guests to the Committee. Kim Pace will be responsible for creating the IAC website, and Scott Nygren, was invited to participate.*

### **ITEM 2. IAC website**

*The next topic was a presentation by Kim, showing the prototype web template (shell), inspired in part by Auburn’s CIA (Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics) site. Andy explained that this would*

serve a useful mechanism for keeping the faculty informed on what the IAC does, the intricacies of NCAA compliance rules, etc.

He solicited input from the members on what content would be appropriate for the site. Several suggestions included: mission statement, meeting minutes, classroom excuse policy (12 day rule), APR/GSR plots with video explanations, a FAR and COIA information sections, ask questions/feedback forum (require log in), coaches' vignettes on their philosophies and success stories (including photographs and videos of S.A.s receiving awards and those returning to graduate).

Kim will add these to the shell for review at the next meeting.

### **ITEM 3. Graduation Success Rate**

Dave B. distributed the latest GSR plots, showing how UF teams compare to the FBS (Division 1) schools. All except two sports exceed the NCAA averages. It was decided that these plots should be kept current and updated (along with the APR plots) on an annual basis. In addition, they should be distributed to the coaches. Dave agreed to include the SEC schools on the plots as well.

As an aside, it was recommended that Coach Donovan be invited to a meeting. Andy remarked that he was invited in the Fall 2011, but scheduling prevented him from attending.

Jeremy also remarked that why doesn't the NCAA acknowledge those S.A.s that return and graduate after the "six year" window closes?

### **ITEM 4. Clustering study**

Joe acknowledged the help he had in compiling the data on majors, vis-à-vis S.A.s and the general student population. The concern was whether S.A.s "clustered" into specific majors different from the general student body. He distributed his draft report and basically the data showed that this was not the case. He also commented on the term "exploratory" was a poor choice for undecided majors.

Albert stated that the Georgia Tech considers a threshold of 25% must be reached before "clustering" is designated. Joe's report indicates that limited access majors (e.g. requiring a 3.0 GPA to enter) by default, forces clustering to some extent.

There was a detailed discussion of the role UF plays in majors, its resource allocation to Colleges and Departments and its moral obligations to students who want a particular choice.

Unfortunately, while the Administration is cognizant of this issue, it needs to be more proactive.

### **ITEM 5. Preparatory Classes**

Keith provided data on the numbers of S.A.s enrolled in these classes. (Spring 2011 - 21 S.A./99 total, Fall 2011 - 17/57 and Spring 2012, 10/28). These facts showed that AIM students are not all S.A.s.

Andy reminded the group that this is a public misperception and the IAC should be aware of the numbers that disprove this assumption.

Dave B. updated the committee on the OSL's tutoring survey. Since the online survey fell short in responses, it was decided that a paper survey would be administered to the S.A.s at their

respective team meetings. Jamie distributed a summary showing that eight of the 14 sports had already filled them out. Once the remainder are completed, he will get them to Dave for review. Ultimately, an IAC member will be responsible for administering the surveys at the team meetings. Other possibilities include having computers available at the meetings or at a dinner.

**ITEM 6. Data Compilation**

*Due to limited time, we will address again in the fall.*

**ITEM 7. FAR Report**

*Mike said he is presenting at the May 10<sup>th</sup> Faculty Senate meeting, representing the IAC. He handed out his proposed PPT presentation for comments. There was a discussion about the Federal graduation rate, and ultimately it was decided that he should explain why the DOE's calculations lead to flawed data analysis and misconception.*

**ITEM 8. Liaison Updates**

*Jamie mentioned the NCAA fast tracking several rule changes. The two he brought up were initial eligibility indices and the APR implementation timeline. Jeremy stated that approval of these and other suggested rules will be discussed by the A.D.s during the Fall meetings. Keith mentioning the Graduating Seniors Luncheon next Thursday.*

**ITEM 9. Student and Student Athlete Reports**

*Nothing to report.*

**ITEM 10. Other Business**

*Nothing to report.*

*Finally, Andy acknowledged Albert and David and their contributions to the IAC over the years.*

*With no further business the meeting adjourned at 10:00 am.*

*Respectfully submitted,*

*David Bloomquist,  
IAC Secretary*